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Presentation Outline

• Study Background
  – Significance of Steam Use in MeOH Distillation
  – MeOH Synthesis & Distillation Process Description
  – Opportunity for Improvement

• Design Basis for Study

• Design Approach
  – Integrated Synloop & Distillation Process Description
  – Trade-offs

• Results & Conclusions
Background

• Significant Amount of Steam Utilized in MeOH Distillation
  — Crude MeOH Contains < 15 Wt % Water
  — But Steam Required set Primarily by Absolute Amount of MeOH
  — MeOH is Evaporated being Lighter Component

• Impact on Plant Performance
  — 3 to 4% Heat Rate Increase on Equivalent IGCC Basis (Same Coal Throughput as MeOH Plant)
  — Depending on MeOH Product Purity
  — & Number of Trays in Distillation Columns
A Current Approach
Design Approach

- Take Advantage of Natural Separation during Cooling / Condensation
  - Replace Coolers (Fin-Fan / Shell & Tube) with “Direct Contact Cooler” (DCC)
  - Functions also as a Rectification Column
Trade-off Analysis Necessary

• **Benefits**
  - Pre-separates Significant Amount of MeOH
  - Overall Steam Consumption & Size of Downstream Distillation Unit Reduced

• **Detriments**
  - Additional Column Operating at Synloop Pressure Required
  - Two (but Smaller) Light Ends Columns Required
Crude MeOH Constituents

- **H₂O**
  - Varies with Syngas Composition (CO / CO₂ Ratio Used & Synthesis Process Design)

- **Dissolved Gases**

- **Trace Organic Components**
  - “Heavy Ends”
  - “Light Ends”
  - Type & Concentration dependent on
    - Operating Conditions
    - Type of Catalyst
Trace Components in Crude MeOH

• Components that may be Present
  – Hydrocarbons (Up to C\textsubscript{12})
  – Higher Alcohols (C\textsubscript{2}-C\textsubscript{5})
  – Esters
  – Ketones
  – Ethers
  – Amines

• Concentrations
  – Less than a PPM to Several Hundreds of PPM

• Product MeOH Specs
  – Fuel Grade (EPRI Report AP-1962) \(> 99\) Wt % MeOH
  – Chemical Grade (Grade AA) \(> 99.85\) Wt % MeOH
  – Stringent Individual Limits on Other Components
Proposed DCC Design

Advanced Power and Energy Program: October 2008
Heat & Mass Transfer in DCC (also a Rectifier)
Sources of Information & Acknowledgements

• EPRI Report AP-1962 by Fluor Titled “Coal to Methanol”
  – Syngas Composition
  – Synloop Design
  – Crude MeOH Composition

• Simulations
  – Aspen Plus for Synloop & Distillation
  – Thermoflex for Power Generation

• Cost Estimation
  – Icarus Software for Synloop & Distillation
  – PEACE for Power Generation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feedstock</th>
<th>Illinois No. 6 Coal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambient Conditions</td>
<td>88°F Summer Dry Bulb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Make-up Water</td>
<td>Fresh Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Heat Rejection</td>
<td>Mechanical Draft Cooling Towers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasification Technology</td>
<td>“Texaco” with HT Syngas Cooler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Throughput</td>
<td>Scaled Down to ¼th of EPRI Study Capacity to Produce 2,700 ST/D MeOH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Column Sizes

**Basis: 2,700 ST/D of MeOH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Base Case</th>
<th>Case Study (DCC) Configuration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCC / Rectifier</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>17 ft Dia X 76 ft T/T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Ends Column 1</td>
<td>5.5 ft Dia X 119 ft T/T</td>
<td>3.5 ft Dia X 120 ft T/T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Ends Column 2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4.5 ft Dia X 111 ft T/T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP Distillation Column</td>
<td>12 ft Dia X 121 ft T/T</td>
<td>9 ft Dia X 122 ft T/T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP Distillation Column</td>
<td>14 ft Dia X 151 ft T/T</td>
<td>11 ft Dia X 149 ft T/T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

• MeOH Separated in DCC / Rectifier Column in Case Study Configuration = 40%

• Case Study Configuration over Base Case
  – Decrease in Steam Required for Distillation = 35%
  – Additional Electric Power Generated via Steam Cycle = 3,940 kW
  – Increase in Plant Cost (Distillation + Power Generation) = $9 million (Dec 2006 Basis)
  – Equivalent Specific Plant Cost = $2,290/kW
Conclusions

• Case Study Configuration Economics Favorable at $2,290/kW
  – 3,940 kW Generated with Zero Fuel Costs
  – & Zero Plant Emissions

• While IGCC Costs per DOE / NETL 2007/1281 Study
  – $2,390/kW to $2,668/kW (Dec 2006 Basis) with 90% CO₂ Capture

• Study Case Advantage Increases with Increasing Moist in Crude MeOH
  – Above Results for EPRI Study Moist of 4.5% Wt H₂O
  – Many Designs Produce Crude MeOH with 13 Wt%
Recommendations

• Results based on Computer Simulations
• High Pressure Experimental Data Required to Substantiate / Verify Results / Conclusions Reached
  – VLE Data for MeOH / H₂O System
  – Partitioning of Trace Components
• Assess DCC Concept in other Synthesis Applications
  – Ethanol & Mixed Alcohols
  – DME
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